1 2	TERRY GODDARD Attorney General Firm No. 14000		
3	ROBERTO PULVER		
4	State Bar No. 018885 Assistant Attorney General 1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2926 Telephone: (602) 542-7026 Fax: (602) 364-3202		
5			
6			
7	Attorneys for the State		
8	BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD		
9			
10	In the Matter of:	Investigation Case Nos. MD-01-0777 and MD-02-0309	
11	RONALD A. BERNSTEIN, M.D. Holder of License No. 15078		
12	For the Practice of Medicine In the State of Arizona,	CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER FOR DECREE OF CENSURE AND PRACTICE RESTRICTION	
13	Respondent.		
14			
15	CONSENT AGREEMENT RECITALS		
16			
17	In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of this case, consistent with the		
18	public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Arizona Medical Board ("Board"), and under A.R.S. §§ 32-1401 et seq., and 41-1092.07(F)(5), Ronald A. Bernstein, M.D., holder of license number 15078 to practice allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona ("Respondent"), and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as the final disposition of this matter. 1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement as set forth herein, and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney. Respondent voluntarily enters into this Consent Agreement for the purpose of avoiding the		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	expense and uncertainty of an administrative hearing.		

12

8

14

15

16 17

19 20

18

21 22

23

24

25 26

- Respondent understands that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning the above-captioned matter, at which administrative hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent freely and voluntarily relinquishes all rights to such an administrative hearing, as well as all rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action, concerning the matters set forth herein.
- 3. Respondent agrees that the Board may adopt this Consent Agreement or any part of this agreement, under A.R.S. § 32-1451(F). Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action against him.
- 4. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board Investigation Case No. MD-01-0777 and MD-02-0309 involving allegations of unprofessional conduct against Respondent. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
- 5. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision or officer of this state from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
- 6. All admissions made by Respondent in this Consent Agreement are made solely for the final disposition of this matter, and any related administrative proceedings or civil litigation involving the Board and Respondent. This Consent Agreement is not to be used for any other regulatory agency proceedings, or civil or criminal proceedings, whether in the

State of Arizona or any other state or federal court, except related to the enforcement of the Consent Agreement itself.

- 7. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document, regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been issued by the Executive Director. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
- 8. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement shall not become effective unless and until adopted by the Board and signed by its Executive Director or designee.
- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that if the Board does not adopt this Consent Agreement, he will not assert as a defense that the Board's consideration of this Consent Agreement constitutes bias, prejudice, prejudgment or other similar defense.
- 10. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board, and shall be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank and the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank.
- 11. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of the Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 12. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct under A.R.S. § 32-1401(24)(r)([v]iolating a formal order, probation, consent agreement or stipulation issued or entered into by the board or its executive director under the provisions of this chapter) and shall result in disciplinary action under A.R.S. § 32-1451 et seq.

26

Reviewed and Approved as to form:

Bradford Attorney for Resondent

FINDINGS OF FACT

By stipulation of the parties, this Consent Agreement is entered into for final disposition of the matters described herein. Respondent denies the factual allegations contained in Paragraphs 7, 10, 12, 15, 17, 18, 19 and 21, but for purposes of this Consent Agreement only, Respondent admits that sufficient evidence exists for the Board to make the following Findings of Fact:

- The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- Respondent is the holder of License No. 15078 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- Respondent has been suffering from clinical depression and is currently unable to practice medicine.

CASE NO. MD-01-0777

- On November 2, 2001, as required under A.R.S. § 32-1451(B), two health care institutions notified the Board that the neurosurgery privileges of Respondent had been temporarily suspended "because of concerns regarding care deemed to be possibly detrimental to patient safety and/or to delivery of quality patient care."
- On July 16, 2001, Respondent performed a right suboccipital craniectomy to remove acoustic neuroma on Patient J.A.F., a 50-year old, morbidly obese male with a rather large acoustic nerve tumor, measured by magnetic resonance imaging ("MRI") at 2x2x3centimeter, large or moderately large for the site; there was erosion of the porous accousticus.

- 6. During the course of the Board's investigation, Board Staff reviewed Respondent's operative note. The operative note describes Respondent having trouble locating the tumor and having to resort to biopsy of a number of sites. The pathologist was unable to confirm a tumor diagnosis on ten frozen sections.
- 7. Patient J.A.F. suffered neurological injury as a result of the surgery as detailed on the third post-operative day with clinical evidence of a major vascular injury to the pons and cerebellum, producing a condition of near quadriparesis.
- 8. A follow-up MRI demonstrated evidence of major vascular insult to the cerebellum and pons in addition to the tumor that still remained.
 - 9. Patient J.A.F. expired on September 18, 2001.
- 10. The autopsy report indicated that Patient J.A.F. "sustained infarcts of the brainstem and cerebellum in the territories of the right and left posterior cerebellar arteries during attempted surgical resection of a right acoustic schwannoma. These infarcts were the result of mechanical trauma to the arteries during repeated biopsies of normal cerebellar tissues."
- 11. On March 8, 2002, Respondent's neurosurgery privileges were permanently revoked at each of the reporting institutions.
- 12. Respondent failed to meet the accepted standard of care in that he improperly performed brain surgery on patient J.A.F. that resulted in injury.

CASE NO. MD-02-0309

- 13. The Board initiated case number MD-02-0309 after receiving notice of a medical malpractice action against the Respondent.
- 14. On March 19, 1998, Respondent performed a right carpal tunnel release procedure on Patient N.N., a 38-year old female, with a medical history significant for pervious surgeries including a cervical discectomy/fusion and a left carpal tunnel syndrome release. The procedure resulted in severing Patient N.N.'s nerves, particularly the palmar

- 15. The incision for the right carpal tunnel release was placed more radially than is acceptable and the incision made for the ulnar transposition was more anterior than is the standard, which resulted in a subsequent hypertrophy of the scar requiring a later revision.
- 16. On June 16, 1998, Respondent performed a right ulnar nerve neurolysis and transposition on the inside of the Patient N.N.'s right elbow. The surgery resulted in severing the Patient N.N.'s nerves, particularly two of the three branches of the antebrachial cutaneous nerve.
- 17. The incision was placed more anteriorly than is the standard, resulting in injury to two branches of the medial antebrachial cutaneous nerve, which resulted in the misdiagnosis of Patient N.N.'s post-operative complaints (after the March 19, 1998 procedure) as ulnar nerve problems.
- 18. Respondent did not recognize that he had divided the palmar branch of the Patient's right median nerve.
- 19. During the course of the investigation, Respondent was interviewed by Board Staff, and it was determined that Respondent is not aware of all the appropriate examinations generally performed in diagnosing peripheral neuropathy, such as ulnar neuropathy at the elbow and/or carpal tunnel syndrome. Respondent indicated that he seldom performs a Phalen's test for possible carpal tunnel syndrome and usually performs a Tinel's test. He also indicated that he was unsure as to what a compression test indicated.
- 20. Regarding the ulnar transposition at the elbow, Respondent indicated that he ordinarily makes a medial incision extending anteriorly and did not use a tourniquet in this specific surgery. He further indicated that, probably the branches of the antebrachial cutaneous nerve could be seen, and moving the nerve "laterally" basically means anteriorly and laterally.
 - 21. Respondent failed to meet the acceptable standard of care in that he improperly

performed carpel tunnel and ulnar nerve surgery on patient N.N. that resulted in injury.

22. Respondent and the Board waive all further findings of fact.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Respondent.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described in paragraphs 4 through 21 above constitute unprofessional conduct under A.R.S. § 32-1401(24)(q) ([a]ny conduct or practice which is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public).
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described in paragraphs 4 through 21 above constitute unprofessional conduct under A.R.S. § 32-1401(24)(ll) ([c]onduct that the board determines is gross negligence, repeated gross negligence resulting in harm to or the death of a patient).
- 4. The conduct and circumstances described in paragraph 3 above establishes that Respondent is mentally or physically unable to safely engage in the practice of medicine under A.R.S. § 32-1451(A) and (E)(3).

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Respondent is hereby issued a Decree of Censure for performing brain surgery, carpal tunnel and ulnar nerve surgery below the standard of care as described in paragraphs 4 through 21 above.
- 2. Respondent shall not practice clinical medicine or any medicine involving direct patient care, and is prohibited from prescribing any form of treatment including prescription medications, until Respondent applies to the Board and affirmatively receives the Board's approval to return to practice. Respondent agrees to submit to any combination of Board staff approved physical examinations, psychiatric evaluations, or successful passage of the Special Purpose Licensing Examination or other competency examinations/evaluations or interviews the Board or its staff finds necessary to assist it in determining Respondent's

11 12

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

ability to safely and competently return to the active practice of medicine.

- 3. Respondent shall submit to psychiatric evaluations and treatment as provided by John Misiaszek, M.D., ("Treating Psychiatrist"), or another psychiatrist approved by Board staff, within thirty days from the date of this Consent Agreement at Respondent's own expense, and shall remain in treatment until Respondent's Treating Psychiatrist provides written confirmation to the Board that Respondent has completed his treatment or needs no further treatment. Respondent shall instruct his Treating Psychiatrist to release to the Board, upon its request, all records relating to his treatment and to submit written quarterly reports to the Board on or before the 15th day of March, June, September and December of each year. The Treating Psychiatrist's quarterly reports shall contain information about Respondent's diagnosis, prognosis, and recommendations for continuing care and treatment. Respondent shall be responsible for paying for the preparation of the written quarterly reports.
- 4. This Order is the final disposition of case numbers MD-07-0777 and MD-02-0309.

DATED AND EFFECTIVE this $\frac{19^{th}}{2}$ day of $\frac{MAY}{2}$

ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD



utive Director

1	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed	
2	this 197 day of, 2003, with	
3	Arizona Medical Board 9545 E. Doubletree Ranch Road Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed by U.S. Certified Mail this 19 th day of, 2003, to:	
4		
5		
6	Ronald A. Bernstein, M.D. 4975 N. Via De La Granja	
7	Tucson, Arizona 85718-7466 Respondent	
. 8	•	
9	EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed this 19 th day of, 2003, to:	
10	Jeffrey Bradford, Esq.	
11	Bradford Law Offices PLLC 4131 N. 24th Street, Suite C-201	
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-6256 Attorney for Respondent	
13		
14	Roberto Pulver Assistant Attorney General	
15	1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
16	Attorney for the State	
17		
18	Brenda. Adel'	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		